

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,547	i	01/24/2001	Masaru Kawai	30681-1005	8985
5179	7590	09/25/2003			14
		S AND ADAMS P	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 20 ALBUQUE	OX 26927 QUERQUE, NM 871256927			HAMLIN, DERRICK G	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1751	
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/674,547	KAWAI ET AL.
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit
	Derrick G. Hamlin	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
_	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rejo	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)[X] will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
 10 Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the market
		YOGENDRA N. GUPTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) *Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/674,547

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The specific amount of ethylene glycol is new matter. Additionally, the applicant claims that his mixing method prevents pollution through predilution, however the applicant has not provided a declaration or any additional evidence or showing.